

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/995,615	ALBRITTON, KE	№¥тн в.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri M. Mai	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) <u>24-27,32,34,35 and 37-45</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>24-27,32,34,35 and 37-45</u> is/are r 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar 	drawn from consideration. rejected.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to by the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to be the constant of the oath or declaration is objected to be the constant of the oath of the oath of the constant of the oath of the constant of the oath of the constant of the constant of the constant of the oath of the constant of the constant	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abe rrection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be nreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 2) L (constitue Disclosure Statement(s) (BTO 1440 or BTO/95) Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:		·

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 04/26/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the changing from "bag" to "backpack". This would change the specificity of the specification. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 39, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 39, "said front side" has no antecedent basis.

The bottom portion and the back wall are defined as separate structures in claim 38. The recitation with respect to the back wall is folded upon itself is inconsistent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. Claims 35, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Fournier. Fournier teaches a back wall 2, left and right wall, a bottom portion attached to a bottom of the back wall 1, a first wall 2 extending from the bottom portion and assisting in holding a sufficient portion of left and right walls together, a plurality of compartments on a front surface of the back wall comprising of netted material, and at least one strap 5 as claimed. The term backpack does not impart any structure over the bag in Fournier. Kilduff (3686414) teaches the two straps can be carried on the shoulder as a backpack.

4. Claims 35, 37, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeChant (6039474). DeChant teaches a back wall, left and right wall, a bottom portion attached to a bottom of the back wall 1, a first wall 100 extending from the bottom portion and assisting in holding a sufficient portion of left and right walls together, a plurality of compartments on a front surface of the back wall comprising of netted material, and at least one strap 5 as claimed. Kilduff (3686414) teaches the two straps can be carried on the shoulder as a backpack.

5. Claims 39, 40, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tong (63334519). Tong teaches a backside member, a bottom member and straps. Kilduff (3686414) teaches the two straps can be carried on the shoulder as a backpack.

6. Claims 24, 25, 26, 27, 32, 41, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tong (6334519) in view of either Fournier or DeChant. Tong teaches a hook unit 13, back wall, left and right walls 12, a bottom portion 16 attached to a bottom of the back wall 1, a plurality of compartments on a front surface of the back wall, and at least one strap as claimed.

Tong meets all claimed limitations except for the netted compartments. Either Fournier or DeChant teaches that it is known in the art to provide netted compartments. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide netted compartments in Tong as taught by Fournerier or DeChant to provide venting for the contents. Kilduff (3686414) teaches the two straps can be carried on the shoulder as a backpack.

Regarding claim 45, a physical measurement in Fig. 4 indicates that the length of wall 12 is slightly longer than the width of the back wall. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a length of the first and second sidewall being greater than the width of the back wall to provide the desired dimension for the bag.

7. Claims 24-27, 32, 35, 37, and 39-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franklin et al. (5575362) in view of Wulf et al. (5749503), and further in view of Bomes et al. (5054589). Franklin teaches a luggage having a foldable back wall with mesh compartments as shown in Fig. 14, and hook 152 that can be attached to an external object, and a front portion as shown in Fig. 14. Franklin meets all claimed limitations except for the straps. Wulf teaches that it is known in the art to provide back straps. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide back straps in Franklin as taught by Wulf to handle the luggage easily.

Bomes teaches that it is known in the art to provide mesh compartments 60. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide mesh compartments in Franklin as taught by Bomes to provide the desired venting.

8. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either the Fournier or Tong rejection in paragraph 6, in view of Davis et al. (2626689). Davis teaches that it is known in the art to provide strap 52. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide straps in either Fournier or Tong as taught by Davis to provide a place for hanging garments.

9. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Tong rejection as set forth above in paragraph 6 or Franklin rejection as set forth in paragraph 7, and further in view of Briggs et al. (4901897). Briggs teaches that it is known in the art to provide straps 18. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide first and

second extended portions in either Tong or Franklin as taught by Briggs to keep the contents together.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 04/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims do not read over the prior art of record as set forth above. Applicant's amendment to change from bag to "back pack" does not read over the Tong, Fournier, or DeChant references. It is noted that the original disclosure set forth the invention as a bag. Furthermore, it is noted that Kilduff (3686414) teaches the two straps can be carried on the shoulder as a backpack.

With respect to the inventor's declaration that the invention entails commercial success, it is noted that there is no comparison between the invention with the applied reference to compare to. Furthermore, it is noted that the inventor's declaration that the invention entails commercial success is not sufficient to overcome a rejection under 35USC102.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

. . .

.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tillon Tri M. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727